

EPGBTWB 22 - Tystiolaeth gan: Cyfeillion y Ddaear Cymru | Evidence from: Friends of the Earth Cymru

Senedd Cymru | Welsh Parliament

Pwyllgor Newid Hinsawdd, yr Amgylchedd a Seilwaith | Climate Change, Environment, and Infrastructure Committee

Bil yr Amgylchedd (Egwyddorion, Llywodraethiant a Thargedau Bioamrywiaeth) (Cymru) | Environment (Principles, Governance and Biodiversity Targets) (Wales) Bill

1. What are your views on the general principles of the Bill, and is there a need for legislation to deliver the stated policy intention?

Yes, we wholeheartedly agree with and support the general principles of the Bill to establish an Office for Environmental Governance in Wales (OEGW), environmental principles duty and a requirement for targets to protect and restore biodiversity.

Across Wales, our rivers, lakes and seas are being poisoned by sewage and other pollutants. Our land is littered with contaminated ex-industrial sites, leaky landfills and toxic waste dumps. And companies are walking away from opencast coal mines, without doing the restoration they promised.

Polluters have been getting away with this for too long. Wales has been without a permanent environmental governance body since Brexit. It is the last country in the UK to get one – and never has it been more needed. Our wildlife is in crisis, with 1 in 5 species are at risk of extinction. And a growing body of evidence is showing that polluted air, toxic land and dirty water are impacting on human health too.

This means that while this draft bill is extremely welcome, it is also vital that it is clarified, strengthened, and implemented at pace. Ambitious targets must be set as soon as possible to reduce pollution, improve ecosystems and reverse the decline in species. Our environmental governance body must be strong and

independent, and environmental principles such as polluter pays must be widely applied.

2. What are your views on the Bill's provisions (set out according to Parts below), in particular are they workable and will they deliver the stated policy intention?

▪ Part 1 - Environmental objective and principles (sections 1 to 7)

We support the environmental objective to “attain a high level of environmental protection and improvement” and the contribution that consideration of the environmental principles is intended to make to achieving this objective – namely, the precautionary principle, preventative action, rectification at source and the polluter pays principle. However, the reference in 1(1)(a) to achieving goals set out in the Wellbeing of Future Generation (Wales) Act 2015 – which address economic, social and cultural issues alongside the environment – risks undermining the headline objective diluting the focus of the principles and decreasing the efficiency of the OEGW.

We are also concerned by the potential limitations to integration imposed by section 3(1), which states that “The Welsh Ministers must, for the purpose of contributing to the environmental objective (a) have special regard to the environmental principles when making policy in Wales that has, or could have, any effect on the environment and (b) integrate environmental protection into the making of such policy.”

The Ministerial integration and special regard duties, alongside the specific duty on Natural Resources Wales, are welcome improvements on the approach to principles taken in the UK Environment Act 2021. However, by narrowing their scope to policy that is intended to affect the environment, the bill risks undermining integration, and limiting the potential of the principles to support the identification and mitigation of unintended consequences, or indeed to provide additional positive environmental outcomes.

The Environmental Principles Policy Statement set out under the 2021 UK Environment Act specifies that “integration is the principle that environmental protection should be integrated into the making of policies. This means that policymakers should look for opportunities to embed environmental protection and/or enhancement across fields of policy – not just those directly related to the environment.”

While we note the intention for all relevant policy to be caught by the proposed scope of the section 3 duty, we are concerned that considerable discretion will be placed in the hands of future Ministers to decide whether policy has (or could have) an environmental impact. There is no requirement for Ministers to seek advice on this, to publish the criteria that they will use to decide whether policy is in scope, or to consider how environmental benefit might be secured through integration. To ensure that environmental protection can be integrated into all policy making, the bill should be amended to make it clear that the environmental principles duty applies to all policy making.

We welcome the proposed environmental protection statement, but it is not clear from the Bill when the statement will be published or updated - only that “Welsh Ministers may review the statement from time to time.” Given that the principles duties will come into force six months after Royal Assent, it would be helpful if Ministers could confirm the proposed timeline for consultation on and publication of the statement. We also agree with the Wales Environment Link recommendation that statement is reviewed on a regular basis – once every Senedd term – to ensure it keeps pace with developments in environmental protection.

3. What are your views on the Bill’s provisions (set out according to Parts below), in particular are they workable and will they deliver the stated policy intention?

- **Part 2 - The Office of Environmental Governance Wales (sections 8 to 32 and Schedules 1, 2 and 3)**

The need for an Office of Environmental Governance for Wales (OEGW) is clear and long overdue. Wales has been without a statutory body to monitor and

enforce breaches of environmental law since Brexit. It is the last UK nation to introduce legislation to plug this governance gap.

The Senedd must make the most of this once-in-a-generation opportunity to protect and improve our environment and bring to justice offenders who break environmental laws. To do this, the bill should be strengthened in the following ways.

First, measures should be taken to safeguard the independence of the OEGW. We recommend this bill is amended to introduce a duty on Welsh Ministers to respect the OEGW's independence, as in schedule 1 of the UK Environment Act 2021, which safeguards the independence of the Office of Environmental Protection (OEP) in England and Northern Ireland. This would act as a potential backstop should future ministers be less favourably disposed to the OEGW and would inform future decision-making in relation to issues including funding and appointments. The bill could also be amended to clarify that Welsh Ministers have no power of direction in relation to the OEGW, as set out in relation to Environmental Standards Scotland in Schedule 1 of the UK Withdrawal from the European Union (Continuity) (Scotland) Act 2021.

Second, it will be impossible for the OEGW to fulfil its role – or be independent for that matter – without sufficient funding. Currently, Schedule 1 of this bill specifies that “The Welsh Ministers may make such payments to the OEGW of such amounts, at such times and on such conditions, as the Welsh Ministers may determine” - this does not provide the certainty of resourcing that the OEGW will need, nor protection from funding cuts if the body is seen to become too challenging to a future administration. The OEP has a ring-fenced five-year budget and the power to tell the UK parliament if it doesn't have enough resources to do its job, through a 'sufficiency statement'. Similar safeguards should be provided within this bill to guarantee adequate resourcing.

Third, if a member of the public feels an environmental law has been broken, there must be a formal complaints process for bringing the matter to the attention of the OEGW that specifies how it will be handled. This is a matter of environmental justice. It's reassuring that Schedule 2 (2)b includes a requirement

that the OEGW must "enable persons to make representation to it about any matters relating to (i) public authorities compliance with environmental law", and that the OEGW strategy is required to contain an investigation and enforcement policy. However, without a specified a time limit for writing such a strategy, the OEGW could be without one for long time. To prevent this happening, Friends of the Earth recommend that the bill is amended to require the OEGW to publish a draft strategy and enforcement policy within a period of 9 months from establishment.

Additionally, the UK Environment Act 2021 provides a clear right for "A person [to] make a complaint to the OEP [...] if the person believes that a public authority has failed to comply with environmental law." Similar legislative clarity would be welcome within this bill. The bill should also provide OEGW with more guidance on how public complaints should be handled and what is expected such as the requirement for service levels. The UK Environment Act 2021 (31-34) includes provisions relating to the fundamental structure of the complaints process complaints, and this bill would benefit from a similar section.

The bill could also require the OEGW to consult with individuals and communities to ensure the process is clear, transparent and accessible to the public. If the OEGW is not accessible to the public, it will limit its effectiveness and undermine its reputation.

4. What are your views on the Bill's provisions (set out according to Parts below), in particular are they workable and will they deliver the stated policy intention?

- **Part 3 - Biodiversity targets, etc (sections 33 to 38)**

We welcome the duty on Welsh Ministers to set biodiversity targets, but we are concerned whether the targets will be ambitious enough or introduced early enough to deliver the stated policy intention of halting or reversing the decline in biodiversity. Improvements are needed to how targets are set and met, to ensure they drive a significant and immediate improvement in the quality of our environment rather than narrow or piecemeal change.

As well as being legally binding, targets should also drive urgent action and set out long term ambition. However, the bill is currently silent on the required duration of targets and lacking in urgency, with section 33 (inserted section 6C(5)) allowing Ministers until 2029 to set such targets.

Given the urgency of the situation, and the fact that this bill is long overdue, we agree with Wales Environment Link (WEL) and the RSPB that targets should be set within 12 months of the bill receiving royal assent, rather than 36 months. We also support their recommendation that there should be both short (interim) and long-term targets, and an overall “headline” target to reverse the decline in species abundance by 2035. These amendments would ensure the bill is able to galvanise immediate action and embed the ambition of current Welsh Ministers and the Senedd across the next Senedd term.

The bill does not require that targets are reviewed unless they go unmet or are considered no longer ‘appropriate’ (inserted section 6G). However, the Explanatory Memorandum suggests that insufficient ambition could offer an additional reason for review. It would be helpful if this intent were clarified within the bill – for example by requiring ministers to review a target if it appears to no longer meet the test of contributing to “halting and reversing the decline in biodiversity” as set out in 6B(2). Equally, a specific regular review requirement, alongside a provision providing for independent advice to be sought for such reviews, would ensure ambition is maintained in the long term.

Section 28 of the UK Environment Act 2021 places a specific duty on the OEP to monitor and report on Environmental Improvement Plans and targets, and to publish an annual report. These have proven an effective and helpful tool in ensuring the UK Government’s Environmental Improvement Plan remains relevant and progress is effectively evaluated. A similar duty could be helpfully articulated within this bill to ensure the OEGW has a clear and ongoing role in considering how Ministers’ plans and targets are delivering for the environment.

One in 5 species face extinction in Wales – and the High Brown Fritillary butterfly, shrill carder bill, pine martin and the red squirrel are hanging by a thread. This can’t continue. Wildlife is at risk from climate change, habitat loss and pollution.

A new targets framework, building upon existing ambition and offering distinct opportunities for ratcheting up in the future, offers a unique opportunity to drive action to put things right, so nature can thrive once again.

5. What are your views on the Bill's provisions (set out according to Parts below), in particular are they workable and will they deliver the stated policy intention?

▪ **Part 4 - General (sections 39 to 45 and Schedule 4)**

We have no comment on this question.

6. What are the potential barriers to the implementation of the Bill's provisions and how does the Bill take account of them?

To a very significant degree, this bill will be implemented, not by the current Welsh Government and Senedd, but by their successors. There is no guarantee that current levels of commitment and ambition will be maintained. The recommendations we have set out throughout this response seek to respond to this potential risk by ensuring legislative clarity on the intent behind and pace of implementation required by this bill, to restore the health of Wales's environment and biodiversity.

A second potential barrier to the successful implementation of the bill is insufficient funding. Wales's environmental sector has been underfunded for years, hamstringing its ability to protect the environment and deliver key services. A lack of substantial increases in core funding from the Welsh government has led to staff cuts, service reductions, and a sense of eroding public trust. For instance, Natural Resources Wales has axed over 256 jobs over the past year to bridge a funding gap, putting nature at risk. The stated role of the OEGW is to "hold public authorities to account" and ensure they "comply with environmental law; make effective environmental law; implement / apply environmental law effectively." Cases of non-compliance might need to be escalated to the High court. As stated in our answer to Q3 above, the OEGW must have a ring-fenced budget and the power to tell the Welsh Government if it doesn't have enough resources to do its job.

The narrow scope of the environmental principles (see our answer to question 2, part 1) is another potential barrier, since it could inadvertently undermine the environmental objective to “attain a high level of environmental protection and improvement.” The OEGW must have the power to review and scrutinise all legal and policy areas that may impact the environment, such as economic, transport, social and health policies. Put simply, we’re concerned that policies deemed by Welsh Ministers, not to the effect the environment, could in fact have unintended consequences for nature.

Finally, as stated in our answer to question 2, part 2, the lack of guidance and the timeline for the complaints process could be a barrier to members of the public feeling able to bring cases before the OEGW. This could lead to important information being missed that could impede its work.

7. How appropriate are the powers in the Bill for Welsh Ministers to make subordinate legislation (as set out in Chapter 5 of Part 1 of the Explanatory Memorandum)

We have no comment on this question.

8. Are any unintended consequences likely to arise from the Bill?

As previously discussed in our response to Question 2 (1), we’re concerned that some policy that impacts on the environment be missed due to the narrow scope of the environmental principles.

As stated above and in our answer to question 3(2), we worry that without a proper complaints process, people won’t feel able to bring their concerns to the OEGW and important information will be missed that will impede its work.

As stated in our response to questions 3 and 6, without sufficient resources and independence, OEGW won’t have the clout to hold the government and public authorities to account. This could be an unintended consequence if the bill continues in its present guise, without amendments.

9. What are your views on the Welsh Government's assessment of the financial implications of the Bill as set out in Part 2 of the Explanatory Memorandum?

We have no comment on this question.

10. Are there any other issues that you would like to raise about the Bill and the accompanying Explanatory Memorandum or any related matters?

Matters that are reserved to the UK parliament will be dealt with by the OEP rather than the OEGW. This must be made clear to people.